bias exist?

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

MICROSURGERY WILEY

Surgical management of lymphedema: Does a microsurgeon's

Dear Editor,

Microsurgical procedures for the treatment of lymphedema have become increasingly popularized over recent decades and an expanding body of evidence continues to demonstrate efficacy of these procedures. While many studies have reported a reduction in patient limb volume following microsurgical procedures, such reductions can be modest or may be limited to those with early-stage lymphedema (Verhey et al., 2022). Additionally, microsurgical procedures do not address the adipose component of the disease, which contributes to excess extremity volume in the majority of patients (Brorson et al., 2006).

Debulking lipectomy procedures are targeted at the removal of adipose tissue from the affected limb resulting in immediate, substantial reductions in limb volume followed by sustained reduction in cellulitis occurrence and improvement in quality of life (Table 1) (Granoff et al., 2022). Despite the considerable benefits of debulking lipectomy, lymphatic surgery literature has disproportionately highlighted microsurgical procedures for the treatment of lymphedema. Based on the consensus statement from the American Association of Plastic Surgeons, 55 studies include microsurgical procedures (lymphovenous bypass and lymph node transplantation), compared to 12 studies for debulking procedures (Chang et al., 2021).

As lymphatic surgeons are trained in microsurgery, this emphasis on microsurgical techniques may reflect a so-called, "microsurgeon's bias." As a result of this bias, debulking lipectomy is often an afterthought or a secondary consideration during treatment planning for lymphedema and is less mentioned in academic discourse regarding lymphedema management.

With the development of lymphatic surgery programs, it is important to consider the microsurgeon's bias as we define our operative treatment algorithms. It will be imperative that we ensure algorithms represent current evidence-based approaches and align with the patient's goals and disease features, while recognizing that the optimal procedure for a patient may not always draw on the full breadth of training or technical capabilities of the surgeon.

Certain patients may require a staged approach involving consecutive debulking and microsurgical procedures whereas others may benefit from an isolated procedure. This decision depends on the relative proportions of fat versus fluid accumulation within the limb. Therefore, thoughtful consideration of debulking will allow for a more tailored approach to treatment and optimization of outcomes. Selection of the appropriate procedure for patients will be contingent on centralizing lymphatic procedures to high-volume centers and through the cross-institutional standardization in the evaluation, indication, and execution of each procedure.

Similar to the microsurgeon's bias, there appears to be an analogous patient bias toward microsurgical procedures for the treatment of lymphedema. Patients would like to feel they are receiving stateof-the-art treatments and may be under the assumption that a more complex procedure is superior. This bias can be especially noticeable in patients who review online content suggesting microsurgical procedures are required to treat lymphedema. For example, the American Society of Plastic Surgeon's online educational content on lymphedema does not list debulking lipectomy as a surgical treatment option (American Society of Plastic Surgeons, n.d.).

Overall, the plastic surgery community needs to be thoughtful moving forward with the appropriate procedure for lymphedema bearing in mind a patient-centered approach. Equitable emphasis on debulking in lymphatic surgery treatment algorithms, literature, and online educational materials, will help attenuate the influence of the microsurgeon's bias on patient care and any patient bias that may exist.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

TABLE 1 Summary of physiologic procedures (lymphovenous anastomosis and lymph node transplantation) versus debulking lipectomy for lymphedema.

Procedure	Mechanism	Postoperative compression therapy	Volume reduction
Debulking Lipectomy	Manual removal of adipose deposition and fibrosis	Continued requirement for compression therapy	Moderate to major
Microsurgical Procedures (e.g. Lymphovenous Bypass and Lymph Node Transplantation)	Restoration of lymphatic flow and drainage	Compression therapy can be variably weaned over time	Minimal

FUNDING INFORMATION

Research reported in this publication was supported in part by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01HL157991 (D.S.) and the NIH Common Fund under award number U54HL165440 (D.S.). Rosie Friedman is supported by the 2022 JOBST Lymphatic Research Grant awarded by the Boston Lymphatic Symposium, Inc. Common Fund U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, Office of Strategic Coordination.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

> Rosie Friedman BS Mohamed Eldardiri Ismail Aly MD Dhruv Singhal MD 🕞 💟

Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Correspondence

Dhruv Singhal, Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, 110 Francis St, Suite 5A, Boston, MA 02215, USA. Email: dsinghal@bidmc.harvard.edu

ORCID

Dhruv Singhal Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-6300-1647

TWITTER

Dhruv Singhal 💟 @DrDhruvSinghal

REFERENCES

- American Society of Plastic Surgeons What is lymphedema? Accessed January 10, 2023. https://www.plasticsurgery.org/reconstructiveprocedures/lymphedema-treatment
- Brorson, H., Ohlin, K., Olsson, G., & Nilsson, M. (2006). Adipose tissue dominates chronic arm lymphedema following breast cancer: An analysis using volume rendered CT images. *Lymphatic Research and Biology*, 4(4), 199–209. https://doi.org/10.1089/lrb. 2006.4404
- Chang, D. W., Dayan, J., Greene, A. K., MacDonald, J. K., Masia, J., Mehrara, B., Neligan, P. C., & Nguyen, D. (2021). Surgical treatment of lymphedema: A systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. Results of a consensus conference. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 147(4), 975–993. https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS. 000000000007783
- Granoff, M. D., Johnson, A. R., Shillue, K., Fleishman, A., Tsai, L., Carroll, B., Donohoe, K., Lee, B. T., & Singhal, D. (2022). A single institution multidisciplinary approach to power-assisted liposuction for the management of lymphedema. *Annals of Surgery*, 5(276), e613–e621. https:// doi.org/10.1097/SLA.00000000004588
- Verhey, E. M., Kandi, L. A., Lee, Y. S., Morris, B. E., Casey, W. J., Rebecca, A. M., Marks, L. A., Howard, M. A., & Teven, C. M. (2022). Outcomes of Lymphovenous anastomosis for lower extremity lymphedema: A systematic review. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. Global Open*, 10(10), e4529. https://doi.org/10.1097/GOX. 000000000004529